

Motivation



- Leverage cheap asynchronous sensors in a modular system for localization
- Use pose graph-based optimization and directly incorporate delayed measurements
- Reduce the overall graph complexity by not creating new nodes

System Design

Vison	ORB-SLAM2	Interpolated Binary	-	FUSION (FULL)
Lidar	LOAM	Binary	r	•
GPS		Interpolated		Prior Map
		Unary	' L	

- Prior Map: Odometry from ORB-SLAM2 [2] and LOAM [3] fused with RTK GPS
- Interpolated vision and GPS factors

Vison 🕨	ORB-SLAM2	Interpolated Binary	FUSION (iSAM2)
Lidar	LOAM	Binary	
	PRIOR MAP	Unary	3D Pose

- GPS-Denied: Perform ICP matching between LIDAR clouds and prior map
- 3D pose estimated in the global GPS frame

Asynchronous Multi-Sensor Fusion for 3D Mapping and Localization

Patrick Geneva, Kevin Eckenhoff, and Guoquan Huang

University of Delaware - Department of Mechanical Engineering

Factor Interpolation

- Assumptions: Constant angular and linear velocities
- Linearly interpolate in SE(3) to correct the incoming unary and binary graph factors
- Time-distance fractions "correct" the factors to corresponding node times
- Allows for direct addition into graph *without* adding new graph nodes
- Derived *analytically* covariance propagation Jacobians in technical report [1]

GPS-Denied Results



Figure: Quanergy M8 LIDAR, ZED stereo camera, and RTK enabled NovAtel Propak6 GPS sensors used in the collected datasets.



Figure: Position error over 10 runs. Total run length of 500 meters. Average vehicle speed of 6mph. Average RMSE of 0.71 meters for proposed method and 0.93 meters for the naive approach (overall 23.6% decrease).

orro erro S 20







$$\lambda = \frac{(t_i - t_1)}{(t_2 - t_1)}$$

 $_{G}^{i}\mathbf{R} = \operatorname{Expv}\left(\lambda \operatorname{Logv}(_{G}^{2}\mathbf{R}_{G}^{1}\mathbf{R}^{\top})\right)_{G}^{1}\mathbf{R}$ ${}^{G}\mathbf{p}_{i} = (1-\lambda){}^{G}\mathbf{p}_{1} + \lambda{}^{G}\mathbf{p}_{2}$





Figure: Comparison of the proposed method and naive approach position over 10 runs, using pure odometry measurements. RMSE of the naive approach was 26.74 meters and the proposed method's average error was 7.026 meters (overall 73.7% decrease).







 ${}_{b}^{e}\boldsymbol{R} = \operatorname{Expv}\left[(1 + \lambda_{b} + \lambda_{e})\operatorname{Logv}\left({}_{1}^{2}\boldsymbol{R}\right)\right]$ ${}^{b}\boldsymbol{p}_{e} = (1 + \lambda_{b} + \lambda_{e}) \operatorname{Expv} \left[-\lambda_{b} \operatorname{Logv} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] {}^{1}\boldsymbol{p}_{2}$

- measurement alignment

- to naive approach

Binary Factor Interpolation

 $\lambda_b = \frac{t_1 - t_b}{t_2 - t_1}$ $\lambda_e = \frac{t_e - t_2}{t_2 - t_1}$

Conclusion

General approach for **asynchronous**

GPS-denied and modular system that allows for any sensor six d.o.f odometry

Tested on a experimental dataset, shown to have < 2 meter accuracy

Asynchronous measurement alignment shows **accuracy improvement** compared

References

Patrick Geneva, Kevin Eckenhoff, and Guoquan Huang. Asynchronous Multi-Sensor Fusion for 3D Mapping and Localization. Tech. rep. RPNG-2017-002. Available: http://udel.edu/~ghuang/papers/tr async.pdf. University of Delaware, 2017.

Raul Mur-Artal and Juan D Tardos. "ORB-SLAM2: an Open-Source SLAM System for Monocular, Stereo and RGB-D Cameras". In: arXiv

Ji Zhang and Sanjiv Singh. "LOAM: Lidar Odometry and Mapping in Real-time.". In: Robotics: Science and Systems. Vol. 2. 2014.

^[2] preprint arXiv:1610.06475 (2016). [3]